

Honorable Samuel J. Steiner
Chapter 11
Hearing Date: November 12, 2010
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
Hearing Place: Seattle, WA
Response Date: November 5, 2010

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In re

ADAM R. GROSSMAN,

Debtor.

No. 10-19817-SJS

MOTION FOR ORDER FOR
DISGORGEMENT OF FEES

Jill Borodin (“Ms. Borodin”), a creditor herein, moves this Court for an order for disgorgement of fees of Emily Tsai for failure to comply with 11 U.S.C. §§327 and 2014.

I. Background

The Debtor and Ms. Borodin are parties to a dissolution proceeding currently pending in the Superior Court in King County Case Number 09-3-02955-9 SEA. The state court dissolution trial is set to commence on November 15, 2010.

The Debtor filed his Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition on August 19, 2010 (the “Petition Date”). The Debtor is represented by bankruptcy counsel. On or about September 16, 2010, after the Petition Date, the Debtor retained Emily Tsai to represent him in the upcoming dissolution trial. On or about the time of Ms. Tsai’s engagement,

MOTION FOR ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 1

CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

www.crockerlaw.com

1 the Debtor paid Ms. Tsai a retainer of \$7,500 with funds borrowed from friends and
2
3 family members post-petition. See Declaration of Tereza Simonyan. Neither the Debtor
4
5 nor Ms. Tsai has filed an application for approval of Ms. Tsai's employment as special
6
7 counsel as required under 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(e) and 2014.
8
9

10 **II. Legal Authority**

11
12 Section 327(e) of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code")
13
14 allows the trustee in possession to employ a professional for a "specified special purpose"
15
16 if such representation is in the best interest of the estate, and if such professional does not
17
18 hold an interest adverse to the estate. 11 U.S.C. § 327(e).
19
20

21
22 In order to be paid for services rendered to the estate, professionals required to be
23
24 employed under Section 327 of the Bankruptcy Code must first obtain court approval of
25
26 their employment. *In re Weibel, Inc.*, 176 B.R. 209, 212 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Cal. 1994).
27
28 Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014 governs the procedures for obtaining
29
30 approval of employment, and requires that the application contain specific facts
31
32 disclosing to the bankruptcy court "the necessity for the employment, the name of the
33
34 person to be employed, the reasons for the selection, the professional services to be
35
36 rendered, any proposed arrangement for compensation, and, to the best of the applicant's
37
38 knowledge, all of the person's connections with the debtor, creditors, any other party in
39
40 interest, their respective attorneys and accountants, the United States trustee or any
41
42 person employed in the office of the United States trustee." Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 2014.
43
44
45
46
47

1 The detailed disclosure requirements under Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 2014 are intended to
2
3 divulge enough information to allow the Bankruptcy Court to evaluate whether the
4
5 employment of the professional is in the best interest of the estate. *See, e.g., In re*
6
7 *Begun*, 162 B.R. 168, 177 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1993).
8
9

10 The disclosure rules are applied strictly and literally, even if the results are
11
12 sometimes harsh. *In re Park-Helena Corp.*, 63 F.3d 877, 881 (9th Cir. 1995) *citing, In*
13
14 *re Plaza Hotel Corp.*, 111 B.R. 882, 883 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1990). Negligence or
15
16 inadvertence do not vitiate the failure to comply with the rules. *Id.* Failure to comply
17
18 with the disclosure requirements gives the court "broad authority to deny any and all
19
20 compensation," order the disgorgement of all fees, *In re Lewis*, 113 F.3d 1040, 1045
21
22 (9th Cir. Cal. 1997), and may even result in sanctions "regardless of actual harm to the
23
24 estate." *In re Park-Helena Corp.*, 63 F.3d at 881. Disgorgement for nondisclosure is
25
26 appropriate "irrespective of the payment's source," *In re Lewis*, 113 F.3d at 1046.
27
28
29
30

31 **III. Analysis**

32

33 The court should order the disgorgement of all fees paid to Ms. Tsai to date in
34
35 compensation for the professional services rendered to the Debtor due to the absence of a
36
37 court approval of her employment.
38

39 No application for approval of Ms. Tsai's employment as Debtor's special
40
41 counsel has been filed. Bypassing the requirement to obtain court approval for
42
43 employment, the Debtor has failed to disclose vital factual information necessary for the
44
45
46
47

1 Bankruptcy Court to determine whether Ms. Tsai's employment is necessary and in the
2 best interests of the estate. To date, virtually no information is available regarding the
3 terms of Ms. Tsai's employment, and the amount of fees that have been, and are
4 continuously being diverted from the Debtor's bankruptcy estate to Ms. Tsai. In addition,
5 the Bankruptcy Court is still without knowledge whether Ms. Tsai has any connections
6 with creditors or other parties of interest, or whether she holds interests adverse to the
7 estate.
8

9
10 The Debtor is aware of the unambiguous disclosure requirements under
11 Section 327 of the Bankruptcy Code and Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 2014, and his disregard of
12 the same appears to be deliberate. On September 28, 2010, during the 341 meeting of
13 creditors, the Debtor was asked a set of questions regarding the employment of Ms. Tsai.
14 The Trustee conducting the 341 meeting of creditors stated, on the record, that the
15 employment of Ms. Tsai as special counsel would need to be approved by the court.
16 Although the Debtor's counsel assured the trustee that the employment application was
17 forthcoming, one has not been filed to this date. See Declaration of Tereza Simonyan.
18

19 The Debtor's failure to comply with the bankruptcy code requirements for pre-
20 employment approval and the likelihood of disgorgement of fees has also been brought to
21 the attention of Ms. Tsai. On October 10, 2010, two pleadings were filed in the Superior
22 Court dissolution case in which Ms. Borodin, through counsel, informs Ms. Tsai of the
23 procedures that must be followed before an attorney may receive compensation for fees
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

MOTION FOR ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 4

CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

www.crockerlaw.com

1 incurred in representing a bankruptcy debtor. See Exhibit A and B to the Declaration of
2
3 Tereza Simonyan. Therefore, disgorgement is an appropriate remedy given that Ms. Tsai
4
5 continues to accept payment of her fees post-petition, despite her knowledge that it is in
6
7 violation of the Bankruptcy Code.
8
9

10 IV. Conclusion

11
12 Ms. Borodin, as a creditor in the current chapter 11 proceeding, requests an order
13
14 directing Ms. Tsai to disgorge, within 14 days of entry of this order, the retainer in the
15
16 amount of \$7,500, and all other amounts paid by the Debtor to Ms. Tsai to date, to be held in
17
18 the Court's registry, or any other safeguarded account, and not to be released until so further
19
20 ordered by the Court.
21
22
23
24
25

26 DATED this 19th day of October, 2010.
27
28

29 CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC
30
31

32 By /s/Tereza Simonyan
33 Shelly Crocker, WSBA #21232
34 Tereza Simonyan, WSBA #41741
35 Attorneys for Jill Borodin
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Honorable Samuel J. Steiner
Chapter 11
Hearing Date: November 12, 2010
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
Hearing Place: Seattle, WA
Response Date: November 5, 2010

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

In re

ADAM R. GROSSMAN,

Debtor.

No. 10-19817-SJS

ORDER DIRECTING DISGORGEMENT
OF FEES

THIS MATTER came before this Court on the motion of Jill Borodin for an order directing disgorgement of fees of Emily Tsai. The Court having reviewed the pleadings, considered the arguments of counsel, and finding that good cause has been shown, it is hereby

1 ORDERED that:
2

3 Emily Tsai shall disgorge, within 14 days of entry of this order, the retainer amount
4 of \$7,500, and all other amounts paid by the Debtor to Ms. Tsai to date, to be held in the
5 Court's registry, or any other safeguarded account, and not to be released until so further
6 ordered by the Court.
7
8
9
10
11

12 DATED this _____ day of November, 2010.
13
14
15
16
17

18 _____
19 Honorable Samuel J. Steiner
20 United States Bankruptcy Judge

21 Presented by:
22

23 CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC
24
25
26

27 By /s/Tereza Simonyan
28 Shelly Crocker, WSBA #21232
29 Tereza Simonyan, WSBA #41741
30 Attorneys for Jill Borodin
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47